legislation than does the other house to which it is ultimately referred.

Twenty-five percent of the vetoes of the Governor of this State are based generally on flaws in language, bad, sloppy, inaccurate language and drafting, or on rulings of unconstitutionality. Does this suggest that a bicameral body is necessarily more careful? I think it suggests the contrary.

I came to this convention a bicameralist. I have long been a student of state legislatures and long been interested in the operation of the legislative branch of government. I was convinced before I came here that bicameralism provided a great advantage to the people in terms of its representative qualities. Someone has suggested the fact that during this Convention I suffered a bicameral disease may have changed my opinion, nonetheless I have become convinced since being here and looking again at this question that if we want to establish in this State an effective legislature, if we want to have a legislature in which the public can have confidence, if we want a legislature in which we can see who is doing what